

## White Nationalist News

# Heinrich Himmler Faked Posen Jewish Extermination Speech

*“We Jews, we, the destroyers, will remain the destroyers for ever. Nothing that you will do will meet our needs and demands. We will for ever destroy because we need a world of our own...”*

– Maurice Samuels, You Gentiles. 1942.



himmler st ignatious of loyola

**~Carolyn Yeager and Mark Weber: The allegation that Heinrich Himmler spoke of exterminating the Jews at two meetings in Poznan in 1943:**

**(Die Behauptung, dass Heinrich Himmler der Vernichtung der Juden sprach bei einem Treffen in Poznan 1943)**

THE WHOLE POINT OF THIS POST BY THE WAY IS TO LISTEN TO THE VIDEO:



### heinrich himmler and daughter

HIMMLER WITH HIS DAUGHTER AT AN NSDAP SPEECH:

**FROM THE NATIONALIST SOCIALIST WORLDVIEW BLOG:**

<http://national-socialist-worldview.blogspot.co.uk/>

#### **Introduction.**

I first heard the recording of the Ausrottung passage from Himmler's second Posen speech (4 October 1943) when it was played in a 60 Minutes segment featuring Mike Wallace and Ernst Zundel, in 1994. This was supposedly slam-dunk evidence that Zundel was wrong and that the Holocaust was historical fact.

Thematically the passage is quite confusing, with two main themes intermingled. One is that SS-men must remain free from corruption, and that a few SS-men who have been caught in corruption (whether stealing or accepting bribes is not specified) while rounding up Jews for deportation will be shot. The passage begins and ends with that theme. The other theme (1:48-2:23; 3:11-3:41; 4:36-4:45) seems to be that the removal of the Jews is both politically necessary and morally deserved, and must be done in spite of sentimentality.

The validity of the speech as evidence for mass-murder of the Jews hinges on the meaning of the word Ausrottung, and, apart from that, on a single sentence that seems strange in its context.



DOMINIC GRIEVE

## The Meaning of Ausrotten and Ausrottung.

Defenders of the Holocaust Myth insist that the German words Ausrottung and ausrotten, when used in reference to people, can only mean killing, a point which they will demonstrate by adducing some German dictionary published after the war. These Defenders of the Faith furthermore insist that the meaning has not changed. Both claims are easily disproven, through consultation of old dictionaries and through consideration of usage prior to 1945.



### holohoax

This is from A New Compendious German and English Dictionary by William Dwight Whitney, Henry Holt & Co., New York, 1887. (That is only two years before Hitler was born, and 13 years before Himmler.) Ausrotten and the noun derived from it, Ausrottung, historically have a range of meanings. The literal meaning of ausrotten is also its primary meaning, “root out.” “Eradicate” is simply a Latin-derived word that also quite literally means “root out.” “Extirpate” likewise is defined as “to pull up by the roots.” “Exterminate” had a meaning in 19th and early 20th century dictionaries that is no longer used: its primary meaning is to place outside (ex) the border (terminus); therefore “exterminate” in older usage need not mean killing. “Destroy” according to this German-English dictionary was a possible definition of ausrotten but not the most likely.



### eu\_jewish

The Luther Bible has only one instance of the noun Ausrottung, but copious instances of the verb ausrotten. When one compares corresponding passages in the Luther Bible and English Bibles, one finds that where Luther used forms of ausrotten the English expression typically used is “cut off,” which means something like cast out or expel. Here are a couple of examples from the Luther Bible with English translation.

Wenn aber ein Männlicher nicht beschnitten wird an seiner Vorhaut, wird er ausgerottet werden aus seinem Volk, weil er meinen Bund gebrochen hat. (Das Erste Buch Mose (Genesis) 17:14, Luther Bible)

But an uncircumcised male who is not circumcised in the flesh of his foreskin, that person shall be cut off from his people; he has broken My covenant. (Genesis 17:14, New American Standard Bible)

Darum haltet meinen Sabbat, denn er soll euch heilig sein. Wer ihn entheiligt, der soll des Todes sterben. Denn wer eine Arbeit am Sabbat tut, der soll ausgerottet werden aus seinem Volk. (Das Zweite Buch Mose (Exodus) 31.14, Luther Bible)

Therefore you are to observe the sabbath, for it is holy to you. Everyone who profanes it shall surely be put to death; for whoever does any work on it, that person shall be cut off from among his people. (Exodus 31:14, New American Standard Bible)

For use of the noun Ausrottung that was contemporary and part of Himmler’s milieu\*, one can refer to Mein Kampf. That book contains a number of instances in which Ausrottung obviously does not mean killing, like this:

Mein Kampf, 1944 edition: “Was aber besaß dieses Bündnis für einen Wert, wenn erst das Deutschtum der Habsburgmonarchie ausgerottet worden wäre?” (p. 142)

Ralph Mannheim’s translation: “But what value did this alliance have, once Germanism had been

exterminated in the Habsburg monarchy?” (p. 129)

My translation: “What kind of value did this alliance possess once the Germandom of the Habsburg Monarchy had been eliminated (through amalgamation with the Czechs)?”

While *ausrotten* in the Luther Bible generally means to expel, Hitler’s use of the noun *Ausrottung* in several instances from *Mein Kampf* clearly means something like dissolution. The important point is that *ausrotten* and *Ausrottung* do not necessarily mean killing.

### **Ausrotten and Ausrottung in Context.**

This speech has the words *Evakuierung* (evacuation) and *Ausrottung* juxtaposed as synonyms.

“Ich meine die Judenevakuierung, die Ausrottung des jüdischen Volkes.”

Since both words refer to the same event, the assumption that *Ausrottung* here must mean killing creates a contradiction. The contradiction could be resolved by supposing that *Judenevakuierung* (evacuation of the Jews) here is a euphemism, but there is no reason to make that leap of interpretation, given that *Ausrottung* does not have to mean killing.

A closer examination of the text makes it impossible that killing is what is meant, since Himmler says that every party member knows that *Ausrottung* of the Jews is being done, and that it is in *unserem Programm*, obviously meaning the party-program of the NSDAP. To construe *Ausrottung* as mass-murder in this speech is to understand Himmler as saying not only that every party member knew that the Jews were to be killed, but that the intention had been declared by the NSDAP already in 1920. *Ausrottung* does not have to mean killing, and in this speech it clearly does not. The NSDAP’s program only says (point 4) that Jews are not members of the German nation and may not be German citizens. Therefore a proper translation for *Ausrottung* here would be exclusion or expulsion.

### **Caveat.**

(27 February 2011)

What I have presented thus far is an adequate debunking of the interpretation of Himmler’s second Posen speech that makes it into evidence for the Holocaust. There is no way that *Ausrottung* in that speech can mean killing, and the purport of the speech in regard to the fate of the Jews really hinges on that.

In the last two sections however I nonetheless broached the question of the authenticity of the recording. I did this for three reasons. First, because in my examinations of other pieces of war-propaganda I have found several examples of multi-layered frauds; for example, there is the fraudulent *Conversations with Hitler* by Hermann Rauschning, which was used as a mere basis for the U.S. Army’s screenwriters to alter and create even more sinister quotes. Second, I find that the speech seems very disjointed in spots, and the possibility that this could be due to tampering provides an explanation. Third, because although there is a typed transcript of this speech supposedly approved by Himmler, which was exhibited at Nuremberg, there is some possibility that the typed transcript could be a fraud, since there have been other Nuremberg documents that according to Dr. Robert Faurisson seem to be suspect, e.g. the Wannsee Protocol, which does not conform to the usual characteristics of an official document of the Third Reich at all.

Rather than err on the side of caution I decided to write my thoughts, based on the possibly inadequate information that I had. When I become a bit more convinced that the transcript is authentic I will probably remove the last two sections of this article, but fear not, because everything above this caveat is really all that you need.

## A Suspiciously Awkward Transition.

We are told that what we hear is unedited, but I am not so sure; some of the transitions in this fragment of a speech are extremely awkward.

I found this to be the most awkward transition. Any SS-man who steals for himself will be executed. “Gnadenlos!” — “Without mercy!” Himmler screams. He then says, “We have the moral right, we had the duty to our people to do it,” clearly referring to the need to execute SS-men who behave corruptly, which he had just been discussing, but then he adds unexpectedly, “to kill this people who would kill us.” It seems to be a mid-sentence change of topic.

“Wir haben das moralische Recht, wir hatten die Pflicht unserem Volk gegenüber das zu tun, dieses Volk, das uns umbringen wollte, umzubringen.” (4:36-4:45)

The unwarranted change of tense in that sentence is also a problem. He seems to be talking about two different matters when he says, “We have the moral right....” (present tense) and “We had the duty....” (past tense). The use of the past tense there makes no sense, even in terms of the conventional understanding of the speech, since in this context Himmler is talking about a planned future action toward the Jews, or an ongoing action, but not a past action.

Accepting this recording as unedited, one must suppose that Himmler was such a rambling and incoherent speaker that he forgot what he was saying in mid-sentence and could not keep his verb-tenses consistent, and also used the past tense to refer to a future action.

## A More Reasonable Explanation.

I think that the recording was probably altered as follows. Himmler said, “We had the duty to our people to do it,” in one context (probably about a past execution of corrupt SS-men), and in a different context, “We have the moral right to kill this people who would kill us,” implying that the Jews were getting off easy by merely being deprived of wealth and deported. The former statement thus would have been inserted into the latter to change its significance, making it about a deed actually (already!) committed instead of an observation about how restrained and generous the treatment of the Jews was going to be.

It was completely feasible to edit sound recordings after Germany was defeated in 1945, since magnetic recording tape, which unlike wire recording or phonograph record is easily cut and spliced, had already been invented (by a German) in 1928. According to the U.S. National Archives (cited by The Holocaust History Project) the most common method of recording speeches in the Third Reich was direct recording to phonograph disc. Echos in this recording however indicate that the speech was stored for years on tape.\*\* This means that ample opportunity to edit the recording existed.

Editing a sound-recording to make it seem more incriminating would not be the most outrageous thing that the Allies did in their propaganda during and after the war. (Cf. the U.S. Army’s Why We Fight series, featuring undeniably fake Hitler quotes that could have been exposed by anybody who bothered to check, and deliberately misrepresented documentary footage from China.)

It makes perfect sense to suppose that some similar shenanigans were committed in this case, because the content of Himmler’s Posen speech of 4 October 1943, as it has been presented to us in this recording, makes no sense, even in relation to itself.

---


\* I became aware in October 2009, six months after posting the original version of this essay, that part

of my argument, about the shifting meaning of ausrotten and Ausrottung, had already been formulated by David Irving during his 1997 libel suit against Deborah Lipstadt, and it can be read [here](#).

\*\* The Holocaust History Project says: “There is a faint before-and-after echo that can be heard at loud portions of the speech, most notably when Himmler shouts “gnadenlos” (“mercilessly”). This is from the magnetic impressions bleeding through two or three layers of tape on a reel, and indicates that a source for our recording spent some years on tape. Whether that is Himmler’s original tape or the National Archives’ master tape made in the 1970s is, at this time, unknown.” The same writer also admits that it is unknown whether the original medium was magnetic tape or phonograph disc, which means that he cannot say that he has examined the recording in its original medium. That should be very troubling given the fact that the trustworthiness of the recording is in question. Posted by Hadding at 11:02 PM Labels: Primary Documents, War Propaganda.

AUSCHWITZ: WHO IS REALLY BEING GENOCIDED HERE?



 Buchenwald: JEWISH FILM DIRECTOR BILLY WILDER (WHO MADE THE PERVERT MOVIE 'SOME LIKE IT HOT' TO CORRUPT THE WHITE GOYIM) ACCIDENTLY STEPS INTO A SHOT AS HE FILMS ONE OF THE STAGED SCHLOCK-HORROR 'NEWSREELS' SHOWN ALL AROUND THE WORLD OF THE CAMPS AT THE END OF THE WAR. THE MAINLY JEWS BEHIND THE PSYCHOLOGICAL WARFARE DEPARTMENT OF THE ALLIED ADVANCE ENSURED THAT ALLIED AIR FORCES DELIBERATELY BOMBED ALL ACCESS TO THE CAMPS WELL IN ADVANCE TO PREVENT FOOD AND MEDICINE GETTING IN SO THAT THEY KNEW IN ADVANCE THAT THEY WOULD HAVE EMACIATED, STARVING INMATES AND PILES OF BODIES OF VICTIMS OF CHOLERA THAT THEY COULD CLAIM WERE GASSED, ALL LINED UP FOR THE HOLLYWOOD MOVIE TEAMS WAITING ON STAND-BY TO FILM. INMATES WHO WERE ORIGINALLY DESTINED TO GO TO PALESTINE BEFORE THE WAR BUT WERE REFUSED ENTRY BY THE BRITISH GOVERNMENT ON THE ORDERS OF VICTOR ROTHSCHILD PRECICLY FOR THIS PURPOSE. THE BRITISH REGIME SENT THE BBC'S CHIEF OF PROPAGANDA RICHARD DIMBLEBY TO CO-OPERATE IN THE EFFORT. NOT ONE SINGLE GASSCHAMBER HAS EVER BEEN SUBSEQUENTLY FOUND NOR ONE SINGLE BODY HAS BEEN PRODUCED FOR AUTOPSY TO PROVE THE CLAIM WHICH WAS ORIGINALLY DREAMED UP BY THE JEWISH-DOMINATED BRITISH WAR PROPAGANDA UNIT.

*Buchenwald: JEWISH FILM DIRECTOR BILLY WILDER ACCIDENTLY STEPS INTO SHOT AS HE FILMS ONE OF THE STAGED SCHLOCK-HORROR 'NEWSREELS' SHOWN ALL AROUND THE WORLD OF THE CAMPS AT THE END OF THE WAR. THE MAINLY JEWS BEHIND THE PSYCHOLOGICAL WARFARE DEPARTMENT OF THE ALLIED ADVANCE ENSURED THAT ALLIED AIR FORCES DELIBERATELY BOMBED ALL ACCESS TO THE CAMPS WELL IN ADVANCE TO PREVENT FOOD AND MEDICINE GETTING IN SO THAT THEY KNEW IN ADVANCE THAT THEY WOULD HAVE EMACIATED, STARVING INMATES AND PILES OF BODIES OF VICTIMS OF CHOLERA THAT THEY COULD CLAIM WERE GASSED, ALL LINED UP FOR THE HOLLYWOOD MOVIE TEAMS WAITING ON STAND-BY TO FILM. INMATES WHO WERE ORIGINALLY DESTINED TO GO TO PALESTINE BEFORE THE WAR BUT WERE REFUSED ENTRY BY THE BRITISH GOVERNMENT ON THE ORDERS OF VICTOR ROTHSCHILD PRECICLY FOR THIS PURPOSE. THE BRITISH REGIME SENT THE BBC'S CHIEF OF PROPAGANDA RICHARD DIMBLEBY TO CO-OPERATE IN THE EFFORT. NOT ONE SINGLE GASSCHAMBER HAS EVER BEEN SUBSEQUENTLY FOUND NOR ONE SINGLE BODY HAS BEEN PRODUCED FOR AUTOPSY TO PROVE THE CLAIM WHICH WAS ORIGINALLY DREAMED UP BY THE JEWISH-DOMINATED BRITISH WAR PROPAGANDA UNIT.*

## HOW JEWS CREATED THE HOLOHOAX AND WHY:



FIRST THE JEWISH-CONTROLLED BRITISH GOVERNMENT REFUSED TO ALLOW GERMANY TO EXPEL THE JEWS TO PALESTINE SO THAT THEY WOULD BE TRAPPED IN WHAT WERE ORIGINALLY HUMANE AND WELL-CATERED FOR CAMPS, I WONDER WHY? AND THEN...O DEAR, THE TRUTH KEEPS COMING OUT!

*FIRST THE JEWISH-CONTROLLED BRITISH GOVERNMENT REFUSED TO ALLOW GERMANY TO EXPEL THE JEWS TO PALESTINE SO THAT THEY WOULD BE TRAPPED IN WHAT WERE ORIGINALLY HUMANE AND WELL-CATERED FOR CAMPS, I WONDER WHY? AND THEN...O DEAR, THE TRUTH KEEPS COMING OUT!*



PHOTO: CAUGHT ON CAMERA OUTSIDE JEWISH PUBLISHERS HODDER & STOUGHTON; SHIFTY JEWS FROM THE JEWISH-CONTROLLED ALLIED WAR PROPAGANDA UNIT LOAD PACKS OF JEWISH LIES AND PROPAGANDA ABOUT GERMANY AND THE THIRD REICH DESTINED TO BRAINWASH THE BRITISH PEOPLE AND FORCES

*PHOTO: CAUGHT ON CAMERA OUTSIDE JEWISH PUBLISHERS HODDER & STOUGHTON; SHIFTY JEWS FROM THE JEWISH-CONTROLLED ALLIED WAR PROPAGANDA UNIT LOAD PACKS OF JEWISH LIES AND PROPAGANDA ABOUT GERMANY AND THE THIRD REICH DESTINED TO BRAINWASH THE BRITISH PEOPLE AND FORCES*



[holocaust denier denyer](#)



